

State of the freshwater pearl mussel populations in Finland





Panu Oulasvirta Alleco Ltd.

Presenter Pirkko-Liisa Luhta, Metsähallitus



Aleco

Distribution of FPM in Finland: northern Finland

- **Teno River Basin**: 3 populations Näätämö River Basin: -1 population Lutto (Tuuloma) River Basin: 24 populations Kemijoki River Basin: 32 populations Torniojoki River Basin: 2 populations Koutajoki River Basin: 4 populations Simojoki River Basin: 2 populations Kem (Carelia) River Basin: 1 populations
- **Iijoki River Basin**: 29 populations



Distribution of FPM in Finland: southern Finland

Oulujoki River Basin: 12 populations

Pyhäjoki River Basin: 1 population (extinct?)

Ähtävänjoki River Basin: 1 population

Lapväärtinjoki River Basin: 1 population (extinct?)

Karvianjoki River Basin: 3 populations (extinct?)

Kokemäenjoki River Basin: 2 populations

Kiskonjoki River Basin: 1 population (extinct?)

Karjaanjoki River Basin: 1 population

Summary:

- Total 120known FPM populations
- Population status assessment has been done in 24 rivers (3 rivers in southern Finland and in 21 rivers in northern Finland)

Large areas still uninvestigated – especially in Kemijoki (1), Ounasjoki (2), Teno (3), Koutajoki (4) and Simojoki (5) river basins



Viability classes

Status class	Criteria
Viable	>20 % <50 mm and >0 % <20 mm (>500 ind.)
Viable?	>20 % <50 mm or >10 % <50 mm and >0 % <20 mm (>500 ind.)
Non-viable/	<20 % <50 mm (>500 ind.) or >20 % <50 mm (<500 ind.)
Partly viable	
Dying-out	All >50 mm, (>500 ind.)
Almost extinct	All >50 mm, (<500 ind.)
Extinct	Earlier documented occurrence but now gone

Söderberg et al. (2009); Bergengren et al. (2010)

• Population size estimate and the proportion of juvenile mussels in random transects

• No digging of substrate: only visible mussels (observing by snorkling/SCUBA diving)

Summary studied populations

Status	Random sites	Optimal sites			
Viable	1	2			
Viable?	2	4			
Non-viable/ Partly viable	18	14			
Dying-out	2	2			
Almost extinct	1	1			
Extinct	-	-			
Total	24	24			
Breeding (< 50 mm mussels found)					
lecent recruitment (< 20 mm mussels found)					

=> In most of the studied populations recruitment takes place (partly viable)- but is it adequate to maintain the population?

Whole Finland (estimate)

Status	Number of rivers	%
Viable	5	4 %
Viable?	10	8 %
Non-viable/ Partly viable	31	26 %
Dying-out	54	45 %
Almost extinct (or probably already extict)	20 (3)	17 %
Total	120	100 %
Extinct	>100	

Breeding (< 50 mm mussels found)	45	38 %
Recent recruitment (< 20 mm mussels found)	19	16 %

QUESTIONABLE:

Are the same viability criteria valid in northern FPM populations?

•Mussels live in their northern distribution range
•Mussels are long living and slow growing
•Glochidia development takes long time (up to 11 months, or longer)
•Size (age) cohorts can be distinguished

=> Recruitment takes place only in most favourable years?

Conclusions

- <u>All</u> viable/ maybe viable populations are found from the small brooks situated at the upper part of the catchment
- => Without conservation measures FPM distribution in Finland will be fragmented into few isolated small populations
- Populations classified as *non-viable* are especially in northern Finland often partly recruiting (*partly viable*): recruitment occurs but not adequately
- Within the river recruitment takes place often only in the upper course (less anthropogenic pressure from the catchment)

MAIN CONCERN Big streams (salmon rivers/ previous salmon rivers) In main rivers the remaining FPM populations are all **non**functional (no recruitment/ only minor recruitment)

- Primary host Atlantic salmon!
- Unmapped: Rivers Utsjoki, Tornionjoki, Inarijoki (Teno)



Reasons for decline: Historical

Pearl fishing





Kuva: Museovirasto

Pearl fishing was prohibited in Finland in 1955

Reasons for decline: Historical

Clearing and straightening rivers for timber floating





Reasons for decline: Historical

Harnessing rivers for hydropower production

River Kemijoki catchment:

Construction of Isohaara power plant dam in 1949





Tuloma River: Building of Upper-Tuloma hydro power plant in Russia in 1960s prevented salmon from ascending to the River Lutto and Suomu in Finland





Suomujoki



Reasons for decline: recent

Forestry actions:

- Ditching
- Plowing
- Forest roads
- River crossings

Silt from the ditch after heavy rain, River Livojoki

Forest ditch leading to FPM river

Clear cut and plowing close to Natura 2000 FPM river

Forest road collapsed to FPM river

Forest machine river crossing on FPM river

ALCONOM DURING SALE

DH 4.7 !

Road ditches lead to FPM river

Made in Finland: One third of the world's forest ditches*





* uudisojitus = ojittamattoman suon ojitus, kunnostusojitus = vanhojen ojien kunnostaminen

Kaikkiaan uudisojituksia on tehty noin 5,7 milj. ha. Kuviosta puuttuvat noin miljoona hehtaaria selittyvät ilmeisesti sillä, että uusimmissa valtakunnan metsien inventoinneissa (VMI) eniten muuttuneet ojitetut suot on tulkittu kangasmaiksi. Myös ennen 1950-lukua tehtyjen lapio-ojitusten puuttuminen voi selittää vajetta. Lähteet: Luonnontila 2010 (SU 2), Korhola 1990.

Kuva: SLL

*Joosten & Clarke (2002): Wise use of mires and peatlands

Iijoki river basin



River Haapuanoja in 1965...

...and today



River Haukioja: Gap in recruitment in 1960-70s

n=412





Failure of the conservation

Freshwater pearl mussel was protected in Finland by the Nature Conservation Act in 1955

After that we have lost more Margaritifera rivers than before the protection!

Urgent list

- 1. Searching for the yet unknown populations
- 2. Population status assessment and monitoring of the known populations
- 3. Restoration of the damaged catchments and rivers
- 4. Construction of the fishways to the old salmon rivers
- 5. Captive breeding of the most threatened populations
- 6. An action plan for FPM in Finland

THANKS TO:

Prof. Jouni Taskinen, University of Jyväskylä Eero Moilanen, Metsähallitus Ilmari Valovirta, University of Helsinki Juha Syväranta, Alleco Ltd. Jouni Leinikki, Alleco Ltd Marko Kangas, Lapland ELY-Centre Jouni Salonen, University of Jyväskylä Santtu Välilä, University of Jyväskylä Aune Veersalu, Metsähallitus

References:

Bergengren J., Lundberg S., Norrgrann O., Söderberg H. & von Proschwitz T. 2010. Undersökningstyp Stormusslor – Version 1:2:2010-03-30. Naturvårdsverket 2010 (In Swedish). Joosten & Clarke (2002): Wise use of mires and peatlands – Background and principles including a frame work for decision-making. International Mire Conservation Group and International Peat Society. November 2002 Saarijärven Offset Oy, Saarijärvi, Finland. 304 p.

Oulasvirta, P., P. Aspholm, G. Jürgen, M. Kangas, B. M.Larsen, P-L. Luhta, E.Moilanen, P.Olofsson, J.Salonen, S.Välilä, A.Veersalu, J. Taskinen : Raakku! - Freshwater pearl mussel in northern Fennoscandia. Metsähallitus, Serie A (in press)

Oulasvirta, P. and Syväranta, J. 2012. Jokihelmisimpukkatutkimukset Mustionjoella 2011. Alleco Oy raportti, tammikuu 2012. 20 p. (In Finnish)

Oulasvirta, P., Syväranta, J. and Leinikki, J. 2012. Pirkanmaan jokihelmisimpukkakartoitus 2011-2012. Alleco Oy raportti 11/12. 29 p. (In Finnish)

Söderberg, H., Henriksson, L., Karlberg, A. & Norrgran, O. 2009. The freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera* (L.) in Sweden – Status, changes and threats. In: Henriksson, L., Arvidson, B. and Österling, M. (eds.): Aquatic Conservation with Focus on *Margaritifera margaritifera*. Proceedings of the International Conference in Sundsvall, Sweden 12-14 August 2009.











Give us some hope! Thank you!